UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,096	09/24/2003	Hyo-Soo Lee	4611-029	6274	
	22440 7590 05/18/2007 GOTTLIEB RACKMAN & REISMAN PC			EXAMINER	
270 MADISON AVENUE			SCHAFFER, JONATHAN C		
	8TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 100160601			PAPER NUMBER	
•			2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/670,096	LEE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jonathan C. Schaffer	2624
ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep	oly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	·
·	
nis action is non-final.	
vance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
nn	
. /	
Vor election requirement	
ner.	
<del>-</del> · · ·	
ection is required if the drawing(s	this objected to See 27 CED 1 121/dt
•	
•	Office Action or form PTO-152.
•	
•	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Examiner. Note the attached gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Examiner. Note the attached gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	Office Action or form PTO-152.  119(a)-(d) or (f).
Examiner. Note the attached gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § ents have been received.	Office Action or form PTO-152.  119(a)-(d) or (f)
Examiner. Note the attached gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § ents have been received. Into have been received in Apriority documents have been received the state of	Office Action or form PTO-152.  119(a)-(d) or (f)
Examiner. Note the attached gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § ents have been received.	Office Action or form PTO-152.  119(a)-(d) or (f).  plication No eceived in this National Stage
Examiner. Note the attached gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Office Action or form PTO-152.  119(a)-(d) or (f).  plication No eceived in this National Stage
Examiner. Note the attached gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Office Action or form PTO-152.  119(a)-(d) or (f).  plication No eceived in this National Stage
Examiner. Note the attached gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). st of the certified copies not read.	Office Action or form PTO-152.  119(a)-(d) or (f).  plication No eceived in this National Stage eceived.
gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §  Ints have been received.  Ints have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  Interview Su	Office Action or form PTO-152.  119(a)-(d) or (f).  plication No eceived in this National Stage
	Examiner  Jonathan C. Schaffer  ppears on the cover sheet with  LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC  DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report  In dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT  and the course the application to become ABA  ling date of this communication, even if the course of the communication, even if the course of the co

Art Unit: 2624

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for

the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in

the United States.

Claim 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaminsky et al.

(International Publication Number WO 00/11454).

6. A method for analyzing a surface condition of a printed circuit board (PCB) using RGB colors,

comprising the steps of:

2.

(A) setting relative RGB values for PCBs, and storing the set relative RGB values;

Kaminsky discloses a method for analyzing the surface condition specifically detecting the presence of

oxide on a conductor on a printed circuit board (pg. 2, I. 11-12), Kaminsky achieves this by setting and

storing relative RGB values for the surface of PCBs (pg. 2, I. 13-33 & pg. 3, I. 1-11)

(B) picking up an image of a target PCB, to be measured, fed by a feeding unit;

Kaminsky discloses generating an image of the PCB (pg. 3, I. 20). Kaminsky discloses generating an

image of a PCB, therefore the PCB had to be fed to the image pick-up device. A feeding means which

feeds the PCB is an inherent aspect of Kaminsky's invention, whether that feeding means is a human

operator delivering by hand the PCB or an automated mechanism which does the "feeding", a feeding

means is required in order for it to perform as disclosed.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/670,096 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

(C) performing an RGB-mapping process for pixel data extracted from the picked-up image of the target PCB; and

(pg. 2, l. 13-33 & pg. 3, l. 1-11)

(D) producing accumulative distribution data of relative RGB values for the pixel data of the target PCB, thereby quantitatively determining an oxidation degree of the target PCB.

Kaminsky discloses determining the presence of an oxide and as part of that determination the "degree" is determined as Kaminsky establishes a "range" of RGB values from the distribution data, that if the RGB values of an object under analysis are within a particular range or have a high enough degree of oxidation then it is determined that an oxide is present (pg. 8, I. 47-49 & pg. 9, I. 1-7).

10. The method according to claim 6, wherein the step (D) comprises the steps of:

running a mapping program by the signal analyzing unit, thereby extracting RGB signals from the pixel data of the target PCB;

(pg. 2, l. 13-33 & pg. 3, l. 1-11 & pg. 4)

running the mapping program by the signal analyzing unit, thereby determining a relative RGB value from the extracted RGB signals;

(pg. 2, I. 13-33 & pg. 3, I. 1-11 & pg. 4)

Art Unit: 2624

comparing the determined relative RGB value with a corresponding relative RGB value searched for from a database stored with relative RGB values, and converting the determined relative RGB value into an electrical signal; and

Page 4

(pg. 2, l. 13-33 & pg. 3, l. 1-11 & pg. 4)

running the mapping program by the signal analyzing unit, thereby producing cumulative distribution data of relative RGB values of pixel data for the target PCB, and quantitatively determining an oxidation degree of the target PCB exhibited with the lapse of time, based on the cumulative distribution data

(pg. 2, l. 13-33 & pg. 3, l. 1-11 & pg. 4 & pg 8, l. 47-49 & pg. 9, l. 1-7)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made
- 4. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaminsky et al (International Publication Number WO 00/11454).
- 8. The method according to claim 6, wherein the step (B) comprises the steps of:

feeding the target PCB to an image pick-up position where a pick-up unit is disposed, in accordance with a feeding operation of the feeding unit;

Art Unit: 2624

Kaminsky discloses generating an image of a PCB, therefore the PCB had to be fed to the image pick-up

device. A feeding means which feeds the PCB is an inherent aspect of Kaminsky's invention, whether

that feeding means is a human operator delivering by hand the PCB or an automated mechanism which

does the "feeding", a feeding means is required in order for it to perform as disclosed.

determining whether or not a color temperature and brightness of light to be irradiated onto the

target PCB upon picking up an image of the target PCB are set to predetermined values,

respectively;

if the color temperature and brightness of the light are not set to the predetermined values,

respectively, sending a control signal, adapted to set the color temperature and brightness of the

light to the predetermined values, from a signal analyzing unit to a light source setting unit;

The above two limitations merely claim optimizing the system. The Examiner takes official notice that

optimizing the system of Kaminsky in this way would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

to which the Applicant's claimed invention pertains, in order to ensure accurate operation of the disclosed

invention, if the color temperature and brightness were not set to the necessary values the system would

operate less than optimally, and thus a means to correct less than optimal conditions would have been

exceedingly obvious.

sending an image pick-up control signal, adapted to pick up an image of the PCB, from the signal

analyzing unit to the pick-up unit; and

Kaminsky discloses generating an image of the PCB (pg. 3, l. 20).

Art Unit: 2624

picking up an image of a metal surface of the PCB in accordance with the image pick-up control signal, dividing the picked-up image into pixels of a corresponding bitmap, and producing an image data file of the bitmap.

Kaminsky discloses dividing the picked-up image into pixels of a corresponding bitmap as is evidenced by the disclosure of pixel analysis (pg. 2, I. 11-33).

9. The method according to claim 6, wherein the step (C) comprises the steps of:

receiving the picked-up image data of the PCB from the pick-up unit via a communication interface by the signal analyzing unit;

Kaminsky discloses generating an image of the PCB (pg. 3, I. 20).

running a mapping program by the signal analyzing unit, thereby extracting pixel data from the picked-up image data of the PCB for a selected pixel;

(pg. 2, l. 13-33 & pg. 3, l. 1-11)

determining whether or not reliable RGB signals are detected from the pixel data extracted by the signal analyzing unit;

if reliable RGB signals are not detected from the extracted pixel data, repeating the pixel data extraction step and the determination step until reliable RGB signals are detected;

determining whether or not optimum RGB ranges for mapping of the pixel data have been set by the signal analyzing unit;

Art Unit: 2624

if the optimum RGB ranges for mapping of the pixel data have not been set, sending a control

Page 7

signal, adapted to set the optimum RGB ranges for the mapping of the pixel data, from the signal

analyzing unit to the RGB range setting unit, thereby setting the optimum RGB ranges; and

The above four limitations merely claim optimizing the system. The Examiner takes official notice that

optimizing the system of Kaminsky in this way would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

to which the Applicant's claimed invention pertains, in order to ensure accurate operation of the disclosed

invention, if the RGB signals of Kaminsky's invention were not reliable and non-reliable RGB signals were

not corrected the invention would not function as disclosed and thus a means to correct non-reliable RGB

signals would have been exceedingly obvious.

running the mapping program by the signal analyzing unit, thereby RGB-mapping the pixel data of

the PCB.

(pg. 2, I. 13-33 & pg. 3, I. 1-11)

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-5 allowed.

6. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if

rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Jonathan C. Schaffer whose telephone number is (571)272-0603. The examiner can

normally be reached on 7:30am - 4:00pm.

Art Unit: 2624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Mancuso can be reached on (571)272-7695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JS

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES

Page 8